1	John N. Zarian (SBN 145080)	
2	PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER 960 Broadway Ave., Ste. 250	
3	Boise, Idaho 83706 Telephone: (208) 562-4900	
4	Facsimile: (208) 562-4901	
5	E-Mail: JZarian@ParsonsBehle.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff American Semiconductor, Inc.	
7	7 increan semiconductor, inc.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	AMERICAN SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., an	Case No. 5:12-CV-6138-LHK
12	Idaho corporation,	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
14	vs.	PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO
15	CALIFORNIA ASSIGNMENTS LLC, a	MOTION TO DISMISS
16	California limited liability company; DEVELOPMENT SPECIALISTS, INC., an	Hon. Lucy Koh
17	Illinois corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,	
18 19	inclusive,	
20	Defendants,	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, American Semiconductor, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and California Assignments LLC and Development Specialists, Inc. (together, "Defendants"), by and through counsel, hereby stipulate and jointly move the Court for an extension of time for Plaintiff to file its response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. #22) from February 7, 2013 to February 21, 2013.

- (1) This request for an extension is being sought in order to enable Plaintiff additional time to investigate factual matters and to provide time to adequately address all issues raised in Defendants' motion to dismiss. The request is not being made for purposes of delay.
- (2) The parties previously stipulated to and requested that (1) the motion for preliminary injunction be heard at an earlier date than the originally scheduled April 18, 2013, and (2) Defendants be given until January 24, 2013 to respond to the Complaint. Dkt. No. 19. The motion to shorten time was denied due to the Court's busy schedule, but Defendants request to extend the time to respond to the Complaint was granted. Dkt. No. 20.
- (3) Defendants moved to dismiss the instant case on January 24, 2013. Dkt. No. 22. Defendants scheduled the motion hearing for the earliest available date, May 30, 2013. Because the hearing is more than two months away, the proposed extension of time should have no effect on the schedule of this case.

Counsel for Plaintiff has conferred with counsel for Defendant and Defendants do not oppose this motion.

DATED: February 7, 2013

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER PEARSON, SIMON WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP

/s/ John N. Zarian

/s/ William Newsom

By: John N. Zarian

By: William Newsom

Counsel for Plaintiff

Counsel for Defendants

27

28

1	ATTESTATION	
2	By his electronic signature above, counsel for the Plaintiff attests that he is the ECF user whose	
3	identification and password are being used to file the instant document, and that pursuant to Civil	
4	Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), all counsel whose electronic signatures appear above provided their authority and	
5	concurrence to file this document.	
6		
7		
8		
9	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED	
10		
11	Dated: February 8, 2013	
12	Hon. Lu Ç Koh	
13	United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

3